Clinical Early Warning with AI Training Course: Alerts, Validation and Accountability

26 – 30 April 2027
Dubai - Marriott Hotel Al Jaddaf, Dubai

Clinical Early Warning with AI Training Course: Alerts, Validation and Accountability

Ref: 807_37900 **Date:** 26 – 30 April 2027 **Location:** Dubai - Marriott Hotel Al Jaddaf, Dubai **Fees:** 4000 GBP

Programme Summary

Clinical early warning with AI is the subject of this one-week training course for health system directors, digital health leaders and hospital executives, ending in an AI early warning adoption and governance plan for one monitoring service. Health systems are adding algorithms that score patient risk from vital signs, laboratory results and wearable sensors, yet alerts can arrive faster than staff can act. The programme moves from how these models work to local validation, alert pathway design and accountability. Mars Training Centre UK delivers this programme on clinical early warning with AI to mixed-organisation cohorts.

The Business Case

Early detection of deterioration only helps when the right clinician acts in time. An algorithm that raises too many false alerts erodes trust, while one poorly validated for the local population can miss the patients it was bought to find.

- Patient Safety: Improves when thresholds are validated against local outcomes.
- Clinical Workload: Falls when alert volume is measured and tuned to staff capacity.
- Risk Exposure: Reduces when ownership and data-protection duties are defined before go-live.

Principles and Evidence Base

- WHO Ethics and Governance of Artificial Intelligence for Health: Supplies principles on safety, transparency and accountability, applied in Sessions 1 and 5.
- ISO 14971: Sets out risk management for medical devices, applied in Session 4 to the hazard review of a monitoring system.
- NEWS2: Serves as the conventional early warning score against which an AI model is compared in Sessions 2 and 3.

Intended Participants

- Health system directors who approve monitoring technology and carry accountability for patient safety.
- Digital health leaders who select, integrate and support clinical algorithms.
- Hospital executives who oversee wards, intensive care and rapid response services.

Typical settings include acute hospitals, primary care networks and health insurers.

Learning Outcomes

1. Participants will be able to evaluate how an AI early warning model differs from a conventional score.
2. Participants will be able to diagnose gaps, drift and bias in monitoring data.
3. Participants will be able to construct a local validation plan with agreed performance and alert burden limits.
4. Participants will be able to design an alert pathway that links a signal to a named clinical response.
5. Participants will be able to prioritise hazards in privacy, bias and failure modes using a risk register.
6. Participants will be able to justify an adoption and governance plan to a clinical board.

Programme Structure

Day 1: Clinical Early Warning with AI: Foundations

What do AI early warning systems measure, and how do they differ from scores used at the bedside?

- Conventional Score Review
- Model Input Inventory
- Wearable and Ward Sensor Map
- Signal-to-Action Timeline
- WHO Principle Checklist

Day 2: Reading Monitoring Data and Model Evidence

Is the data behind a model sound enough to trust its alerts?

- Data Completeness Audit
- Drift and Gap Diagnosis
- Bias Check Across Patient Groups
- NEWS2 Comparison Table
- Calibration Curve Reading

Day 3: Validating a Model on Local Patients

How is a model tested before it influences care?

- Retrospective Validation Plan
- Sensitivity and Alert Burden Trade-off
- False Alert Cost Worksheet
- Silent Running Protocol
- Performance Limit Statement

Day 4: Designing the Alert Pathway and Controls

Who sees an alert, what do they do, and what happens when the system fails?

- Alert Routing Map
- Escalation Ladder
- Clinician Override Log
- ISO 14971 Hazard Review
- Data Protection Impact Note

Day 5: AI Early Warning Adoption and Governance Plan for One Monitoring Service

Do the earlier tools combine into a plan a clinical board can approve?

- Applying the Session 1 WHO Principle Checklist
- Testing the Session 3 Performance Limit Statement
- Checking the Session 4 Escalation Ladder
- Rehearsing the Board Challenge Session
- Completing the Adoption and Governance Plan

Methodology

The programme uses case analysis and data exercises built on prepared material for two services, one a surgical ward deterioration model and one a home wearable monitoring scheme for chronic conditions. Participants compare alert logs with outcomes, challenge each other's thresholds and defend their choices to peers acting as a clinical board.

- Suggested activity: Tuning an alert threshold and recording what happens to missed cases and alert volume.
- Suggested activity: Tracing one false alert through the pathway to find who should have acted.
- Suggested activity: Briefing a sceptical clinician on why a model is or is not ready.

Capstone Output

Each participant completes an AI early warning adoption and governance plan for one monitoring service. It holds a data and bias review, validation limits, an alert pathway, a hazard register and named owners. The plan is used at work to brief a clinical board, to set conditions for a supplier and to explain to ward staff why alerts are set as they are.

Frequently Asked Questions

Is a training programme on clinical early warning with AI suitable for non-clinical leaders?

Yes. Clinical early warning with AI is taught through prepared cases and templates. The programme assumes responsibility for clinical technology, safety or operations, but no programming or statistics background.

Which standards and models does clinical early warning with AI rest on?

Clinical early warning with AI rests on the WHO guidance on ethics and governance of artificial intelligence for health, ISO 14971 for risk management and NEWS2 as a conventional score for comparison.

How is the plan from clinical early warning with AI used at work?

You use the adoption and governance plan to brief a clinical board, set validation and alert limits with suppliers, and explain to ward staff how alerts are routed and reviewed.

How does clinical early warning with AI differ from a programme on remote patient monitoring devices?

Clinical early warning with AI concentrates on risk models, validation, alert burden and accountability, while a device-focused programme concentrates on sensors, connectivity and clinical integration.